

From: twosheds@cray.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/9/01 7:02pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Concerning the proposed settlement with Microsoft, I would like to raise some concerns with you.

If this case is to be "settled", it should be settled in favor of the Public interest. Not in the interest of any single business or lobbying entity. Accepting that premise, the settlement, as proposed, should be rejected because it serves to further entrench Microsoft's monopoly in several business areas.

As proposed, the settlement would allow Microsoft discretion to prevent Free/Open source software from accessing the software APIs - in order to guard Microsoft's intellectual property. This argument assumes that the Free/Open source movement is a business entity seeking to capitalize on Microsoft's development efforts, the truth is that the Free/Open source movement is not a business at all. It is simply software developers seeking to produce high quality software for the good of the public at large.

Making the APIs publicly available to any interested organization - not just competitors - would force Microsoft to compete on a level playing-field with other software producers, and far from undermining their intellectual-property, it would assure interoperability between Microsoft's formidable installed-base of software and the software produced by their competitors. This interoperability can certainly be considered to be in the public interest.

Microsoft has repeatedly tried to cast this movement as being "un-American" because it isn't concerned with a profit motive. Similarly, the American tradition of Barn raising must be viewed as "un-American." Indeed any effort by "the few" which benefits "the many" must then be considered "un-American." To that, I submit that it is Microsoft that fails to understand appreciate what America is all about - and underscores the need to force the awareness upon them that the world doesn't exist to be their unwilling profit base. Especially if preferred alternatives are forced from viability.

Microsoft has proposed supplying schools with "PC standard" hardware and their own software. Taking this course would artificially promote Microsoft in one of the few market areas where it does not already enjoy monopoly status, and over time - as the supplied OSes require updates, Microsoft would be in a prime position to leverage that foothold to assure that others could not compete in that area.

Thank you for your attention.

--

JAY VOLLMER
TWOSEDS@CRAY.COM, JVOLLMER1@MN.RR.COM
952-738-8201